

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,856	01/04/2006	Markus Heinloth	23447	3789
535 759 THE FIRM OF K.	01.47.4007		EXAMINER	
5676 RIVERDAL	DALE AVENUE FRIDIE JR, WILLMON			WILLMON
PO BOX 900	RONX), NY 10471-0900	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3722	
KIVEKONDE (DI	(01121), 111 10471-0500			
				•
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE .	
3 MONT	HS	01/29/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,856	HEINLOTH, MARKUS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•
	Willmon Fridie	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB.	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 C This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	-	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er. cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d). 52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	3
· ·			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	nmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/563,856

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 10-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Katbi et al..

Katbi et al. discloses a cutting insert which can be clamped to a disk- or bar-shaped tool body and having a front face along at least one edge of which and preferably along opposite edges of which there is a convex edge face (41) having an arcuate edge extending over an angle between 90* and 180* and serving as cutting edge, further having a straight cutting edge (43) generally perpendicular to the front face or at. a maximum angle of 4* to a perpendicular to the front face or a concave edge (37) merges with the arcuate cutting edges.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3722

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims4-8, 13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katbi et al.

With respect to the cited claims it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the various claimed ranges since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 20 is allowable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3722

Contrary to applicant's assertion elements (41,43) are both cutting edges. See the disclosure of Katbi.

Further, In response to applicant's argument that the insert of Katbi is moved in a different direction than claimed by applicant. The examiner submits that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272 4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571 272 4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER